

On the range of future Sahel precipitation projections and the selection of a sub-sample of CMIP5 models for impact studies

Article

Accepted Version

Monerie, P.-A. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5304-9559>, Sanchez-Gomez, E. and Boé, J. (2017) On the range of future Sahel precipitation projections and the selection of a sub-sample of CMIP5 models for impact studies. *Climate Dynamics*, 48 (7-8). pp. 2751-2770. ISSN 0930-7575 doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-016-3236-y> Available at <https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/100408/>

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See [Guidance on citing](#).

To link to this article DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00382-016-3236-y>

Publisher: Springer

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the [End User Agreement](#).

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

[Click here to view linked References](#)

1 On the range of future Sahel precipitation projections and
2 the selection of a sub-sample of CMIP5 models for impact
3 studies

4 Paul-Arthur Monerie¹; Emilia Sanchez-Gomez²; Julien Boé².

5 ¹ CECI UMR 5318 - CNRS/CERFACS, Toulouse, France; previously at Centre de Recherches en
6 Climatologie, UMR6282, CNRS/University of Burgundy, Dijon, France.

7 ² CECI UMR 5318 - CNRS/CERFACS , Toulouse, France

8

9

10

12 Abstract

13 The future evolution of the West African Monsoon is studied by analyzing 32 CMIP5 models under the rcp8.5
14 emission scenario. A hierarchical clustering method based on the simulated pattern of precipitation changes is used to
15 classify the models. Four groups, which do not agree on the simple sign of future Sahel precipitation change, are
16 obtained. We find that the inter-group differences are mainly associated with the large spread in (i) temperature increase
17 over the Sahara and North Atlantic and in (ii) the strengthening of low and mid-level winds. A wetter Sahel is
18 associated with a strong increase in temperature over the Sahara ($>6^{\circ}\text{C}$), a northward shift of the monsoon system and a
19 weakening of the African Easterly jet. A dryer Sahel is associated with subsidence anomalies, a strengthening of the
20 600 hPa wind speed, and a weaker warming over the Northern Hemisphere. Moreover, the western (central) Sahel is
21 projected to become dryer (wetter) during the first months (last months) of the rainy season in a majority of models. We
22 propose several methods to select a sub-sample of models that captures both the ensemble mean pattern and/or the
23 spread of precipitation changes from the full ensemble. This methodology is useful in all the situations for which it is
24 not possible to deal with a large ensemble of models, and in particular most impact studies. We show that no
25 relationship exists between the climatological mean biases in precipitation and temperature and the future changes in
26 the monsoon intensity. This indicates that the mean bias is therefore not a reliable metric for the model selection. For
27 this reason, we propose several methodologies, based on the projected precipitation changes: The “diversity” method,
28 which consists in the selection of one model from each group is the most appropriate to capture the spread in
29 precipitation change. The “pattern selection” method, which consists in the selection of models in a single group allows
30 to select models for the study of a specific pattern of precipitation change, for example the one that is the most
31 representative of the full ensemble.

34 1. Introduction

35 Observational studies show that the 1970s and 1980s were abnormally dry in the Sahel (L'Hote et al., 2002;
 36 2003; Dai et al., 2004; Nicholson et al., 2012ab). Since the 1990s, Sahel rainfall has experienced a limited precipitation
 37 recovery (Ozer et al., 2003; Nicholson, 2005; Lebel and Ali, 2009), especially from August to October (Sanogo et al.,
 38 2015). A recent study based on numerical modeling suggests that the recovery is due to changes in atmospheric
 39 greenhouse gases (GHGs) and aerosols concentration (Dong and Sutton, 2015). It could thus be expected that the recent
 40 Sahel precipitation intensification might continue as the GHGs concentration increases. Based on studies using the
 41 CMIP3 and CMIP5 databases (Coupled Model Intercomparison Phase 3 and 5), the 4th and 5th IPCC (Intergovernmental
 42 Panel on Climate Change) assessment reports however concluded that a high level of uncertainty in future changes in
 43 Sahel climate exists (Solomon et al., 2007; Barros et al., 2015).

44

45 Sahel precipitation is inextricably linked to the West Africa Monsoon (WAM). Studies based on CMIP3/5
 46 projections show that the WAM response to global warming is highly model-dependent. As a consequence, model based
 47 projections of WAM and Sahel climate are highly uncertain. This is particularly problematic for the assessment of
 48 socio-economic impacts, since in order to capture correctly the uncertainties (model formulation, internal variability,
 49 emission scenario), a large ensemble of models is necessary, as well as different realizations and scenario emissions
 50 should be considered. The CMIP5 multi-model ensemble consists in more than 40 climate models, with generally
 51 several realizations (or members), and for 4 different Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs). It is virtually
 52 impossible for most groups working on dynamical downscaling and/or impacts, given limitations in computing
 53 resources and/or data storage capacity, to deal with such a large ensemble. Sub-sampling is necessary and given the
 54 major inter-model differences regarding the future evolution of Sahel precipitation, it is particularly complex in this
 55 context.

56 The need for an adequate sub-ensemble of models is becoming more and more frequent, since in the recent years an
 57 increasing number of multi-disciplinary impact studies have emerged: they are based on crop models (Sultan et al.,
 58 2014); on hydrological models (Li et al., 2015); on land-cover and land use models (Xue et al., 2012); on malaria
 59 (Caminade et al., 2014) and meningitis modeling (Abdussalam et al., 2014); among others. The African countries will
 60 be indeed affected by global warming in many areas and will face important adaptation challenges. In this study, we
 61 propose different approaches to define an optimal sub-sample of models (composed by a small number of models,

62 which is able to provide a mean pattern and inter-model spread of precipitation change close to them of the CMIP5
63 ensemble), based on the models response in Sahel precipitation under global warming.

64

65 The first step of the study is focused on the analysis of the diversity of CMIP5 future projections for Sahel
66 precipitation. Precipitation is projected to increase (decrease) over the central (western) Sahel in both CMIP3 and
67 CMIP5 multi-model ensembles (Fontaine et al., 2011b; Monerie et al., 2012; 2013; James and Washington, 2013;
68 Biasutti, 2013; James et al., 2015). In a recent study, Park et al. (2015) suggest that the discrepancies in future Sahel
69 projections can be explained by differences between Northern and Southern Hemisphere surface warming. Here, we
70 revisit this question with an original statistical approach, based on hierarchical clustering applied to the projected
71 patterns of Sahel precipitation change.

72

73 Sahel precipitation change uncertainty is caused by multiple competing physical mechanisms that impact the
74 interannual-to-multidecadal variability of Sahel precipitation. Additionally, the Tropical Atlantic is a region of large
75 model uncertainties: state-of-the-art climate models exhibit large systematic errors (Richter and Xie, 2008; Richter et
76 al., 2012) and large uncertainties exist in the relative roles of internal and external factors in shaping climate change.
77 The review of Druryan (2011) highlights that the studies based on CMIP3 projections often show opposite results,
78 ranging from a significant increase to a significant decrease in Sahel precipitation at the end of the 21st century.
79 Different physical mechanisms have been proposed to explain the opposite responses found in coupled models. On the
80 one hand, a wetter Sahel is associated with increased land-sea temperature contrast (Haarsma et al., 2005) between the
81 Gulf of Guinea and the Sahara, and with a strengthening of the Tropical North Atlantic/Tropical South Atlantic
82 temperature gradient (Hoerling et al., 2006; Park et al., 2015). On the other hand, the Tropical Ocean warming may
83 induce an increase in moist static energy at upper levels, affecting then the vertical stability of the atmosphere, leading
84 to a drying over the Sahel, in a way analogous to the impacts of El Niño Southern Oscillation on the global tropical
85 atmosphere (Giannini et al., 2010). Our objective is to better understand the physical mechanisms responsible for the
86 major inter-model spread in Sahel precipitation change. We also investigate whether the future model responses are
87 linked to present-day climatological biases in Sahel precipitation. This question is of interest, since some models sub-
88 sampling approaches are based on the models ability to simulate the mean historical climate (as in Buontempo et al.,
89 2015), making the implicit hypothesis that models with smaller biases provide more reliable future projections.

90

91 In a second step, we test several methods for the selection of a subset of CMIP5 models in the context of Sahel

precipitation changes. The main objective is to find a small subset of models that both reproduces the ensemble mean and inter-model spread of the full CMIP5 ensemble.

The main scientific questions addressed in this study can be summarized as follows:

1. Which are the main responses in future Sahel precipitation and the associated physical mechanism in CMIP5 models at the monthly time-scale?
2. Is the large inter-model spread in future precipitation changes linked to present-day climate characteristics?
3. Is it possible to define a subset of models, representative of the full ensemble in terms of ensemble mean and spread?

The paper is organized as follows: In section 2 we describe the methodology and the data used. The mean changes in Sahel rainfall are analyzed in section 3. Section 4 focuses on models biases and proposes different methods for model selection. Finally we conclude in section 5 with a summary and a discussion of our results.

105

106 2. Data and Methodology

107 2.1 Data

108 Observed precipitation for the 1979-2010 period from the GPCP v2.2 data set is used with a $2.5^\circ \times 2.5^\circ$
109 horizontal grid resolution. GPCP is a merged analysis that incorporates precipitation estimates from microwave data,
110 infrared data, and surface rain gauge observations (Adler et al., 2003). Surface temperature, wind and sea level pressure
111 for the period 1979-1999 come from the ERA-Interim (ERA-Interim) reanalysis (Dee et al., 2011). Compared to ERA-40
112 (Uppala et al., 2005), ERA-Interim provides an improved representation of the hydrological cycle over the tropics (Uppala et
113 al., 2008), a more realistic stratospheric circulation and better temporal consistency of the reanalysis fields (Dee et al.,
114 2011).

115

116 Two sets of numerical experiments from the CMIP5 archive (Taylor et al., 2012) are analyzed: the historical
117 ensemble (hereinafter HIST, forced by both historical natural and anthropogenic forcings); and the RCP8.5 ensemble
118 (noted RCP85, based on the most extreme scenario whose radiative forcing reaches 8.5 W/m^2 in 2100; see Meinshausen
119 et al., 2011). We selected the models for which the necessary variables to this study are available for both HIST and
120 RCP85, which leads to an ensemble of 32 models (see the figure 1 for the model names).

121

122 In this work, mean model biases are estimated from the JAS (July-August-September) differences between
123 HIST and GPCP or ERA-Interim on the 1979-1999 period. The models response to global warming is defined as the difference
124 between RCP85 in the 2060-2099 period and HIST on the 1960-1999 period. Models outputs, GPCP precipitation and
125 ERA-Interim variables have been interpolated on the same 2.5° latitude by 2.5° longitude grid to facilitate the analysis. One
126 member is considered for each of the 32 models for both the HIST and RCP85 experiments. Throughout this work, the
127 multi-model response is considered as robust if at least 80% of the models agree on the sign of the change.

128

129

130

131 2.2 Model classification

132 Future projected changes in Sahel precipitation from the 32 CMIP5 models are classified with a hierarchical
133 clustering algorithm (Jain et al., 1999). The spatial pattern to be classified is the JAS RCP85 - HIST differences in
134 precipitation over a Sahelian box defined as $20^\circ\text{West} - 20^\circ\text{East}$ and $10^\circ\text{North} - 20^\circ\text{North}$ (including both the Western
135 and Central box in Fig. 2a). The spatial correlation matrix is then computed (32×32 size). The hierarchical clustering is
136 performed following the ward minimum variance method (Ward, 1963), based on the spatial correlation amongst the

137 models. The position at which two models are connected on the tree characterizes their disagreement. Four groups of
138 models are empirically defined based on the classification shown in Figure 1, noted GR1, GR2, GR3 and GR4
139 hereinafter. The semi-empirical choice of the number of clusters rests on a compromise, aiming to avoid clusters of very
140 small size (whose properties could be strongly impacted by an outlier), and to have a sufficient number of groups to
141 capture correctly the discrepancies in Sahel precipitation changes.

142

143 Precipitation changes are expected to be consistent within each cluster, which is not the case for the full
144 ensemble. The goal of this classification is to facilitate the understanding of the diversity of projected precipitation
145 changes in the Sahel by CMIP5 models.

146

147 Two outliers are identified by the classification: two GFDL models (`gfdl_esm2g` and `gfdl_esm2m`), whose
148 responses are largely different from the other models, and finally they are included in any group. As in Pennell and
149 Reichler (2011), Masson and Knutti (2011) and Knutti et al. (2013), models from the same institution generally belong
150 to the same cluster (i.e. HADGEM, GFDL, IPSL, CMCC, MIROC, GISS, ACCESS and MPI). These similarities are
151 due to the fact that models from the same center sometimes only differ in resolution (`ipsl_cm5a_mr` and `ipsl_cm5a_lr`
152 for example), and generally share large portions of numerical code or even identical component (e.g. ocean, atmosphere
153 or land models) (Masson and Knutti, 2011).

154

155 In the following section, changes in precipitation, temperature, pressure and winds over West Africa for the four groups
156 of models defined above are studied.

157 3. Characterization of projected changes in the Sahel

158 3. 1. Water budget

159 Future changes in JAS Sahel precipitation (P), evaporation (E) and P-E budget for the four groups of models
160 identified by hierarchical clustering are depicted in Figure 2. The intra-cluster mean is computed and the result is
161 considered as robust when at least 80% of models within the cluster agree on the sign of the change. GR1 exhibits a
162 large increase in rainfall (> 2 mm/day) over the entire northern Sahel (Fig. 2a). Over the adjacent ocean, evaporation
163 slightly increases (Fig. 2e) yielding to a positive P-E budget, indicating an enhancement of moisture flux convergence
164 (divergence), over the Sahel (Gulf of Guinea). This pattern has been widely documented in the past, and is associated
165 with a strengthening of the land-ocean temperature contrast (Maynard et al., 2002; Haarsma et al., 2005; Skinner et al.,
166 2012), and inhomogeneous ocean temperature changes within the Tropical Atlantic basin (i.e. the Tropical North
167 Atlantic warms more than the Tropical South Atlantic, as reported in Hoerling et al., 2006). GR2 simulates a slight
168 increase in precipitation over the central Sahel, and a decrease in precipitation over the Senegal (Fig. 2b). An important
169 increase in precipitation along the maritime Inter-Tropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ) is also robust. GR2 also exhibits a
170 strong increase in E over the adjacent ocean and west of central Africa (Fig. 2f). The resulting P-E budget is strongly
171 negative over the Gulf of Guinea, and no robust changes are observed over the Sahel (Fig. 2j). Therefore, in GR2 the
172 change in precipitation over the Sahel is not associated with a change in moisture flux convergence, suggesting that it
173 might be linked to local changes in moisture recycling (the evaporation is moisture-limited rather than energy-limited in
174 the semi-arid Sahel). GR3, which is the most populated cluster, shows a robust increase in P over the north-central
175 Sahel and a decrease over its western part (Fig. 2c). The patterns for E and P changes are similar over the continent, but
176 differ over the ocean, where E increases more strongly (Fig. 2c and Fig. 2g). The P-E budget increases (decreases) over
177 the central (west) Sahel (Fig. 2k), indicating an increase (a decrease) in moisture flux convergence. These changes in
178 the water budget have been already documented by Fontaine et al. (2011b), Monerie et al. (2012; 2013), James and
179 Washington (2013), Biasutti (2013) and James et al. (2015): GR3 is the dominating response in the CMIP5 full-
180 ensemble. The response for GR4 consists in a slight decrease in P over both the continent and the ocean (Fig. 2d). E
181 decreases over the central Sahel but increases over the Gulf of Guinea area (Fig. 2h). No robust changes are found for
182 the P-E budget over the continent, whereas a robust decrease in P-E occurs over the Gulf of Guinea (Fig. 2l).

183 In summary, four main types of projected precipitation changes over the Sahel can be extracted from the
184 CMIP5 full-ensemble: a strong increase in precipitation (>2 mm/day, GR1), a zonal dipole with an increase (a decrease)

185 in precipitation over the western (central) part (GR2 and GR3, but with a small increase in precipitation over the central
186 Sahel in GR2); and finally a decrease in P over the entire domain (GR4). These patterns are consistent with the large
187 spread in the WAM changes simulated by CMIP3 models (Druryan, 2011), and illustrate the strong uncertainties in
188 Sahel precipitation projections. In the next section we analyze the atmospheric dynamics associated with the P, E and P-
189 E changes described above.

190

191 3.2 Atmospheric circulation and temperature

192 Figures 3a-d show the projected changes in 2m temperature (T2m hereinafter, shading), sea level pressure
193 (SLP, contours) and wind at 950 hPa (arrows) for the four groups of models. From Figure 3, it is evident that the
194 magnitude of T2m changes is different among the groups. However, the spatial patterns of warming present large
195 similarities, with stronger increases in temperature over the continent than over the ocean, which act to increase the
196 land-sea temperature gradients (Fig. 3a-d). The associated dynamics show a marked decrease in SLP over northern
197 Africa, together with a strengthening of the south-westerlies (as shown in Haarsma et al., 2005) for the 4 groups of
198 models. Over the Sahara, the SLP decreases, indicating a deeper heat low, which favors the strengthening of low-level
199 winds. The main inter-group differences concern the intensity of the warming and of the wind strengthening.

200 At global-scale, surface temperature increases more in the northern Hemisphere than in the Southern
201 Hemisphere for the four groups, which could act to enhance precipitation over the Sahel (Park et al. 2015). This inter-
202 hemispheric differential warming in JAS is considered here only over the Atlantic Ocean, and computed as the RCP85-
203 HIST difference of SSTs averaged between 75°W and 10°E, minus the global tropical SST change (the global tropical
204 domain is 180°W-180°E; 30°S-30°N). Results for the four groups of models are shown in Figure 4. The major
205 differences amongst the groups are located north of 40°N. GR1 and GR3 exhibit a stronger strengthening of the inter-
206 hemispheric SST gradient over the Atlantic than GR2 and GR4. Interestingly, GR1 and GR3 are the groups that project
207 an increase in Sahel precipitation (Fig2a and Fig2c). This result is consistent with Park et al. (2015), who have shown
208 that the strengthening of this inter-hemispheric gradient is one of the main causes of the models discrepancies in Sahel
209 precipitation changes.

210 The low-level warming leads to stronger temperature gradients in GR1 and GR3 than in GR2 and GR4. This is
211 consistent with a stronger increase in low-level winds and decrease in SLP, leading to larger increases in Sahel

precipitation in GR1 and GR3 than in GR2 and GR4. The land-ocean temperature gradient increases in all the group of models and cannot explain the decrease in precipitation for GR4 and the very weak increase for GR2.

This difference in projected Sahel precipitation may be due to the upper-level wind dynamics, represented by the Tropical Easterly Jet (TEJ) and the African Easterly Jet (AEJ), which are known to influence Sahel precipitation (Nicholson, 2008). A southward shift of the AEJ displaces the rain-belt southward, reducing the rainfall over the Sahel (Grist and Nicholson, 2001) and the TEJ strength is significantly correlated with Sahel precipitation (Grist and Nicholson, 2001). According to Cook (1999) and Patricola and Cook (2008), the AEJ can also reduce rainfall by transporting moisture away from the Sahel. The impact of the AEJ and TEJ on the precipitation change is however not straightforward. Precipitation impacts soil moisture and surface warming, leading to a change of the meridional gradients of surface moisture and temperature that displaces the AEJ (Thorncroft and Blackburn, 1999). More intense precipitation also results in a stronger local deep meridional overturning circulation with upper-level northerlies that accelerate the TEJ. A feedback therefore exists between the AEJ (TEJ) and Sahel precipitation. We thus do not conclude on a direct impact of the jets on the precipitation change.

Following this, we investigate the low to upper levels dynamics considering the latitude-height cross-sections of the zonal wind component. The Sahel domain (from 10°W to 10°E) is selected to compute longitudinal averages. The intra-cluster mean for each group is shown in Fig. 3e-h. During the JAS rainy season, all the groups simulate a strengthening of the surface winds (from equator to 15°N and between 1000 to 850 hPa) (Fig. 3e-h). The strengthening of the westerlies is larger for GR1 and GR3, likely because of the greater warming over northern Africa (Fig. 3a and c). The low-level winds also accelerate in response to increased latent heating due to the increased rainfall and low-level moisture. At mid-level (600 to 400 hPa), the AEJ moves northward for GR1, as shown by the positive (negative) anomalies south (north) of the wind core at 600 hPa and 15°N (Fig. 3e). No robust changes in the AEJ speed are obtained for GR3 (Fig. 3g). This could be due to the choice of the cross-section, centered on the Greenwich meridian, whereas for GR3 there is a zonal dipole of precipitation change and thus likely, a non-homogeneous zonal response. The two others groups agree on an increase in the AEJ speed and on its southward shift (negative anomalies south of the AEJ core) (Fig. 3f and h).

At upper level, the TEJ, whose core is located generally at 200 hPa, exhibits a strengthening and a displacement southwards for GR1 and GR3 (Fig. 3e and Fig. 3g). For GR2 and GR4, the TEJ speed decreases at all latitudes range. This is consistent with the increase in precipitation, as shown previously in the literature (Nicholson, 2008).

241 The projected responses in T2m, SLP and zonal wind vertical structure are generally consistent among the groups of
 242 models in terms of spatial pattern, but with differing intensities (Fig. 3). The main differences are associated with
 243 different changes in the vertically-integrated moisture flux convergence, represented by the P-E budget (Fig. 2i-l). The
 244 latter are due to the competing effects of the feeding of moisture through the low-levels winds (Fig.3e-h) and the export
 245 of moisture through the mid-level winds (Fig. 3e-h). GR1 models simulate a northward shift of the monsoon flow, a
 246 weakening and a northward shift of the AEJ. On the contrary, GR2 and GR4 models simulate a strengthening of the
 247 AEJ, in consistency with a decrease in precipitation in GR4 and with no northward displacements of the monsoon
 248 system in GR2.

249 For GR3, there are no evident changes in mid-level winds. This group exhibits zonally contrasted changes in
 250 precipitation (increase/decrease in the central/western Sahel) and the box centered on the Greenwich meridian is not
 251 suitable for the analysis of this pattern. Therefore, in the following section 3.3, we consider two areas to characterize the
 252 projected changes: the western and central Sahel (Fig. 2a, gray boxes).

253

254 3.3 Seasonal cycle

255 The increase in precipitation noted in Fig 2a-d may be associated with a change in the seasonal cycle of the
 256 WAM. For example, Biasutti (2013) showed from an ensemble of CMIP5 models that a pattern of precipitation similar
 257 to the one of GR3 is associated with a decrease in precipitation over the western Sahel in June-July, and an increase in
 258 precipitation over the central Sahel in September-October. To investigate further this question, we analyze the latitude-
 259 seasonal cycle diagrams of the water budget, zonal winds at 600 hPa (AEJ) and 400 hPa vertical wind velocity over the
 260 western Sahel (20°W-0°E) and central Sahel (0°E-20°E) domains. Figures 5 and 6 show the projected changes in P (Fig.
 261 5a-d, Fig. 6a-d) and P-E (Fig. 5e-h, Fig. 6e-h) over the western and central Sahel respectively. Zonal wind at 600 hPa
 262 (Fig. 5i-l, Fig. 6i-l) and 400 hPa vertical wind velocity (Fig. 5m-p, Fig. 6m-p) changes are also displayed in the same
 263 format.

264 First, we analyze the changes in the seasonal cycle over the western box (Fig. 5). GR1 simulates a strong
 265 increase in precipitation from July to October over the Sahel and in boreal winter south of the equator (Fig. 5a). This
 266 change is associated with an increase in moisture convergence (Fig. 5e), a monsoon system located northward in JAS,
 267 as shown by the northward shift of the AEJ (Fig. 5i), and with ascending (subsiding) anomalies north (south) of 10°N

(Fig. 5m). GR2, GR3 and GR4 project a decrease in P over the Sahel (Fig. 5b-d), but the timing in P changes is different, varying from the March to August period for GR2 to the July to October period for GR4. These changes are associated with a decrease in moisture flux convergence (Fig. 5f-h) and with an increase in the AEJ strength (Fig. 5j-l). There is an evident subsiding anomaly for GR2, GR3, and GR4 (Fig. 5n-p). These findings obtained for GR2, GR3 and GR4 (i.e., a decrease in rainfall over western Sahel, subsidence anomalies and AEJ speed increases) are consistent with Monerie et al. (2012; 2013) and James et al. (2015).

On the central Sahel, an increase in precipitation is seen from July to December for GR1 (Fig. 6a), GR2 (Fig. 6b) and GR3 (Fig. 6c). GR4 exhibits a decrease in precipitation from April to October and an increase at the end of the year. A wetter Sahel during the late rainy season appears to be a robust feature of climate change, as shown in Biasutti (2013), Seth et al. (2013) and Kitoh et al. (2013). The moisture flux convergence given by P-E is consistent with these precipitation changes (Fig. 6e-h), except for GR2, for which there is no robust change, suggesting that precipitation change is closely related to the change in local evapotranspiration and thus in moisture recycling (Fig. 6f). The increase in precipitation at the end of the rainy season could also be associated with a delay in the phase of the Atlantic SST seasonal cycle (Biasutti and Sobel, 2009; Dwyer et al., 2014).

The zonal wind associated with the AEJ weakens and shifts northward during the entire year for GR1. This is consistent with an increase in Sahel precipitation, as shown in Grist and Nicholson (2001) for the anomalous wet years. The AEJ also shifts northward in GR2, GR3 and GR4 from October to January (Fig. 6i-lf). The AEJ strengthens in JAS for GR2 and GR4, which are projecting a decrease in precipitation during this season. GR3 does not exhibit any robust change of the AEJ speed in JAS. The 400 hPa vertical velocity field shows a northward shift of the location of the air ascendance, and thus of the monsoon system from August to September for GR1, GR2 and GR3 (Fig. 6mno). There is also a weak negative anomaly of 400 hPa vertical velocity in September-October for GR4 (Fig. 6p).

In summary, three groups (GR2, GR3 and GR4) project a decrease in precipitation over the western Sahel (associated with a strengthening of subsidence and AEJ speed), but the timing throughout the year is different. Three groups (GR1, GR2 and GR3) also exhibit a northward shift and a strengthening of the monsoon system in September-October. The decrease (increase) in precipitation over the western (central) Sahel is thus a robust behavior in the CMIP5 simulations. The precipitation change is more robust in May-June-July and September-October than in July-August-September when focusing on a particular domain. The spread is thus stronger in JAS and for the entire Sahel.

296 4. Selecting a subset of models representative of the CMIP5 ensemble

297 In the previous sections, four groups of models have been defined and studied in terms of Sahelian
298 precipitation changes. They are characterized by very different precipitation responses, which indicate that it is not
299 straightforward to select a subset of few models representative of the full CMIP5 ensemble. This is of particular
300 importance from a practical point of view for many applicative studies (McSweeney et al., 2012; 2015; Buontempo et
301 al., 2015). It is indeed virtually impossible for most dynamical downscaling studies or impact studies, that generally
302 require high temporal and spatial resolutions, to deal with the entire CMIP5 ensemble, because of limitations in
303 computing resources and/or data storage and treatment capacity.

304 For example, Guan et al. (2015) have shown that daily values of precipitation are needed for impact studies on
305 crop yields since they strongly depend on the rainfall frequency and intensity, and on the timing and duration of the
306 rainy season. As in most impact studies, it is preferable in this case to use Regional Climate Models (RCMs) than
307 GCMs (Vizy and Cook, 2012; Crétat et al., 2014; Sylla et al., 2015) because of the higher spatial resolution (Wehner et
308 al. 2010; Li et al. 2011) and improved convective schemes (Li et al., 2012). Because RCMs are very computationally
309 expansive and require large amount of data as input, it is very difficult to downscale all the GCMs to take into account
310 all the uncertainties. It would also be difficult to deal with all the necessary associated simulations with crop models.

311 In practice, most impact or dynamical downscaling studies are therefore based on a limited sample of GCMs.
312 The choice of GCM is very important, in order to avoid biases in the characterization of the climate change signal
313 and/or the uncertainties due to climate models. The selection of GCMs is however in practice often ad-hoc and not
314 necessarily based on solid scientific ground.

315
316 In this section we propose and test several approaches to select a few models within the whole CMIP5
317 ensemble considered in this work. The selection of a sub-ensemble of models is often based on their performance in
318 simulating the mean climate in present conditions, characterized by a given metric, by comparing models to
319 observations (e.g. Lee and Wang, 2014). This approach assumes, at least implicitly, that the reliability of future
320 projections is linked to the accuracy of the models in simulating the current climate. However, this relationship is not
321 straightforward. To investigate this issue, we first focus on the model mean errors in Sahel precipitation and air surface
322 temperature.

323
324 In a second stage, we will also explore alternative methodologies for model selection, based only on the
325 models' projections (section 4.2 and section 4.3) and on the classification presented in Figure 1.

4.1 Relationship between the mean model biases and the projected response

In this section, we study whether the different groups of models that have been defined based on future precipitation changes are characterized by different biases in the present climate.

Figure 7 shows the JAS differences between the observations (GPCP) or reanalysis (ERA-Interim) and the intra-group mean for the four groups of models (Fig. 1). The differences with GPCP precipitation are computed on the 1979-1999 period and are shown in Figure 7a-d. The four groups show similar precipitation biases with the ITCZ located too south, resulting in a positive (negative) bias of rainfall over the Gulf of Guinea (western Africa). GR1, GR2, GR3 and GR4 are characterized by robust negative biases, with some differences in the magnitude of the biases.

Figure 7e-h shows the global T2m biases compared to ERA-Interim on the 1979-1999 period in JAS. In the Tropical Atlantic region, biases are generally similar for each group of models. A cold bias is present over the Northern Hemisphere oceans, and also over the north of Africa. The Tropical Atlantic ocean shows a strong bias ($>+3.5^{\circ}\text{C}$ in the southeastern part), due to an incorrect representation of the Atlantic cold-tongue in JAS, as reported in Okomura et al. (2011) and Richter and Xie. (2008), and also to deficiencies in simulating continental precipitation and surface winds (Richter et al. 2012). It has been shown that the WAM is linked to the surface temperature gradient between the Sahara and the Gulf of Guinea (Nicholson et al., 2013), which is too weak in climate models and this forces the WAM system to be located too southward. Warm North Atlantic SSTs are also linked to larger precipitation (Martin et al., 2014). The biases are spatially similar in the four groups of models, but with different intensities. In particular, a colder North Atlantic is depicted by GR2 models, consistent with a stronger dry bias over the Sahel (Fig. 7f).

In summary, slight differences in mean biases in precipitation and T2m can be found among the four groups of models, in particular over the Atlantic Ocean and the Sahel. It is however difficult to interpret the inter-group differences in terms of response (characterized in section 3) to global warming based on present-day biases. Figure 8 shows an alternative classification of the 30 CMIP5 models considered in this work (32 climate models minus the two outliers), but in this case based on the correlation amongst the 30 spatial patterns of present-day errors in Sahel precipitation using GPCP as reference. Models belonging to the same group for the projections-based classification (Fig. 1) are indicated by the same color code in Figure 8. The two classifications lead to very different clusters, suggesting that the spatial structure of the projected changes are not directly related to the model mean biases for Sahel precipitation, as

also found in Knutti et al. (2010) in a different context. This analysis confirms that the present-day biases in precipitation and temperature are not good metrics of the respective credibility of the models in terms of future precipitation changes, for this particular case.

The intensity of the biases might be different with another references. The warm bias in the equatorial Atlantic and the cold bias in the North Atlantic are however systematic (Roehrig et al., 2013) and are partly responsible for the systematic southward shift of the ITZC in coupled models (Richter and Xie, 2008). We thus argue that the main conclusion of the this section is not sensitive to the choice of the reanalysis.

It has been shown that variations in Sahel precipitation are related to SSTs anomalies over the North Atlantic (Knight et al., 2006; Zhang and Delworth, 2006; Ting et al., 2009; Mohino et al., 2011; Martin et al., 2014), the Indian Ocean (Bader and Latif, 2003), and the Mediterranean Sea (Rowell, 2003; Fontaine et al., 2010; Gaetani et al., 2010; Polo et al., 2011). It is also known that future precipitation changes in Sahel may be influenced by changes in SST (Hoerling et al., 2006; Giannini et al., 2010; Park et al., 2015). Sahel precipitation change in a given model might therefore be affected by how this particular model simulates the teleconnections with SSTs over near and remote oceans. This metric has already been used to select the “best” models in larger ensemble (Gaetani and Mohino, 2013; Martin et al., 2014). However, this methodology presents a caveat: Sahel-SSTs teleconnections are not stationary (Fontaine et al., 2011a), and the results may depend on the reference period used to compute the teleconnection. Furthermore, the teleconnections can be also affected by global warming. For this reason, we have decided not to use this metric for model selection.

4.2 Model selection based on the classification of the projected response

The metrics based on models present-day performances tested in section 4.1 are not suitable to select a sub-sample of models to study future precipitation changes in the Sahel, as the responses to anthropogenic forcing are not necessarily connected to present-day biases. Here we propose other approaches that only use the information from future climate projections. The aim of the model selection is then to select a sub-sample of models considered more realistic: i) to define a small sub-ensemble of models, ii) with an ensemble mean of precipitation changes in the Sahel comparable to the one of the full ensemble and/or iii) that correctly captures the inter-model spread of the full CMIP5

ensemble. Two metrics are thus defined: the mean precipitation change in the Sahel, computed as the multi-model ensembles average, and the inter-model spread, defined as the multi-model standard deviation computed locally for each grid point within the domain.

Based on the previous classification (section 2.2), we propose three different approaches for model selection:

(1) A “pattern selection” method that consists in the selection of models that simulate a pattern of precipitation change of particular interest. For example, it may be useful to select a sub-sample of models whose precipitation change pattern is as close as possible to the CMIP5 multi-model mean. Models in GR3 match this condition, since the GR3 intra-group mean is the dominant response in the full CMIP5 ensemble. We therefore test this method with the GR3 models.

(2) A “diversity” method based on the random selection of models within the four groups, with the same number of models selected in each group. This method aims to keep the large range of responses from the full CMIP5 ensemble.

(3) - A “random” method that consists in the simple random selection of a subset of models from the entire CMIP5 ensemble, without taking into account the classification in four groups. Contrary to (1) and (2), this approach does not require a preliminary analysis and is not associated with particular variables and/or domains of interest. It could be used as a baseline selection approach to assess the improvement of the other subsampling methods based on the model classification described above (the “pattern selection” and “diversity” method).

The three approaches are tested for sub-samples of 4 and 8 models respectively. The results are compared to the CMIP5 multi-model mean change (computed from the RCP85-HIST differences of the 30 CMIP5 models). To ensure the robustness of the results, the model selection is carried out n times following a Monte Carlo procedure; hence n ensemble means are computed. Here we chose $n = 30000$ for the subsample of 4 models (there are 27405 combinations of 4 models selected within 30); and $n = 500\,000$ for 8 models (there are almost 6 million combinations of 8 models but n is kept lower for computational reasons). From the n realizations, we can then build a probability distribution function that determines if the new sub-ensemble averages present an anomaly of the same sign as the whole CMIP5 multi-model mean. The anomaly is considered as robust when at least 95% of the n ensemble-means

416 (from 4 or 8 models) agree on the sign of the whole CMIP5 multi-model mean change.

417

418 The results of the 3 sub-sampling approaches for 4 and 8 models are shown in Figure 9. With 4 models, the “pattern
419 selection” method reproduces fairly well the pattern of precipitation change of the full ensemble (Fig. 9a). Less
420 successful, the “diversity” and the “random” methods reproduce the increase in precipitation only over the central Sahel
421 (Fig. 9bc). The same conclusions can be drawn for the sub-ensemble of 8 models, but with an agreement on more grid
422 points compared to the 4 models sub-ensemble (Fig. 9d-f).

423

424 After this first analysis, the “pattern selection” method (based on GR3) is the most successful since it reproduces both
425 the increase in precipitation over the central Sahel and the decrease in precipitation over Senegal.

426

427 We investigate now whether it is also the case for the inter-model spread, by computing the difference between the
428 average of the n spreads from the n Monte Carlo tests and the full CMIP5 inter-model spread (in color in Fig. 9g-l). The
429 “diversity” and the “random” methods lead to a spread very similar to the one of the full CMIP5 ensemble, both for the
430 4 models (Fig. 9gi) and 8 models (Fig. 9jl) subsets. The “pattern selection” clearly underestimates the spread of the full
431 CMIP5 ensemble (more than 30% over West Africa), and especially over the Western Sahel and the Gulf of Guinea
432 (more than 60%). This could be expected since the “pattern selection” approach only selects the models whose
433 precipitation change pattern is similar to the one of the full CMIP5 ensemble, which leads to a large underestimation of
434 the full inter-model spread.

435

436 The standard deviation of the n spreads is also shown (purple contours). This is a measure of the robustness of the
437 spread generated by all the Monte Carlo realizations for the 3 approaches. Figure 9g-l shows that the “spread of the n
438 spreads” is similar among the methods (none of the methods produces dramatically stronger inter-ensemble spread).

439

440 In summary, the most successful approach turns out to be the “diversity” method that i) captures correctly the mean
441 precipitation changes over the Sahel of the full ensemble with only 4 models and ii) leads in average to an inter-model
442 spread comparable with the one from the full CMIP5 ensemble.

443

444

445 4.3 Selection of an optimal sub-sample of models

446 We have shown that our model classification can be useful as a basis of the “diversity” method for model
447 selection. However, this does not guarantee that a particular sub-sample of either 4 or 8 models obtained with the
448 “diversity” approach would be the optimal one to capture both the mean pattern and inter-model spread of the whole
449 CMIP5 ensemble. In this section we provide the optimal sub-sets of models (4 or 8) representative of the full CMIP5
450 ensemble. As previously discussed, this could be very practical for dynamical downscaling studies on the Sahel
451 interested by precipitation changes, and also impact-oriented analyzes. It is also interesting to investigate if the 4 or 8
452 models from the optimal ensemble belong to the same group, or to different groups amongst the 4 identified in this
453 work.

454 To find the optimal subsets we use the “random” selection approach described in section 4.2 in which n random
455 selections of 4 or 8 models (30000 for 4 models and 500000 for 8 models) are done. We define a metric that evaluates
456 both the similarity of each sub-sample of models with the full ensemble in terms of mean precipitation change pattern
457 (M_{CMIP5}) and spread (SP_{CMIP5}) in JAS. For each random sample of 4 or 8 models we calculate the mean precipitation
458 change (RCP85-HIST, named M_n) and its inter-model spread (SP_n). We consider a Sahelian box (gray box in Fig. 10a)
459 as a target to evaluate the similarity to M_{CMIP5} and SP_{CMIP5} the ensemble mean and spread of the full ensemble. Then we
460 compute the spatial root-mean-square error (RMSE) between M_n and M_{CMIP5} and SP_n and SP_{CMIP5} within the Sahelian
461 box. This leads to $RMSE(M_n)$ and $RMSE(SP_n)$ respectively. The metric (F_n) is then defined as the sum of both
462 standardized $RMSE(M_n)$ and $RMSE(SP_n)$. The final metric, F_n , allows sorting the n sub-ensemble of models from the
463 “best” sub-ensemble of models that exhibits the minimum value of F_n to the “worst” sub-ensemble of models.

464 Figure 10 shows the $M_{CMIP5} - M_n$ and $SP_{CMIP5} - SP_n$ differences for the “best” and “worst” sub-ensemble of 4 (left) and
465 8 models (right). Fig. 10a-d shows that the “best” sub-set of 4 (Fig. 10ac) and 8 (Fig. 10bd) models simulates future
466 changes much closer to M_{CMIP5} than the “worst” sub-ensemble. This is also the case for the inter-model spread, when
467 comparing Figures 10eg and 10.fh. The results are better with 8 models than with 4 models (Fig. 10ab and Fig. 10ef)
468 indicating that a too small sub-sample of models could limit the possibility of representing accurately M_{CMIP5} and
469 SP_{CMIP5} .

470 The “best” (in the very limited sense previously described) sub-ensembles are composed by bnu_esm, mpi_esm_mr,
471 giss_e2_r and hadgem2_cc for 4 models; and by giss_e2_r_cc, ccs4, mpi_esm_lr, cnrm_cm5, bcc_csm1_1,
472 miroc_esm, cesm1_bgc and haadgem2_cc. The worst sub-set of models are miroc_esm, miroc_esm_chem, miroc5 and
473 the csiro_mk3_6_0 for 4 models, and gfdl_cm3_0, csiro_mk3_6_0, cnrm_cm5, miroc_esm, bnu_esm, fgoals_g2,
474 miroc_esm_chem and miroc5 for 8 models.

475 Based on Fig. 1 the “best” sub-ensemble of 4 models contains one model from GR2, two from GR3 and one from GR4,
476 whereas the “best” sub-ensemble of 8 models is built from 2 models from GR1, 1 from GR2, 3 from GR3 and 2 from
477 GR4. This may seem contradictory to the results from the previous section, which concludes that the “diversity”
478 approach is the more appropriate to define a sub-ensemble of models. This can be explained by the fact that we have
479 selected in this section only the optimal sub-sets with the best performing F_n metric. However, if we examine the
480 probability density function of F_n , we find that most subset of models associated with values of F_n included in the tenth
481 percentile correspond to subset of models in which one model of each of the 4 groups is selected (not shown). On the
482 contrary, regarding the 90th percentile of F_n , the worst sub-ensembles are generally obtained when there is an over-
483 representation of one group of models (for example, the “worst” ensemble of 8 models is composed by the five GR1
484 models).

485 5. Conclusion

486 Current climate models show large uncertainties in future projections of the WAM and Sahel precipitation, sometimes
487 even opposite responses. In the first part of this work, we classified the future Sahel precipitation projections from 32
488 CMIP5 models to define four groups of models, which allowed us to extract the main types of model responses to
489 facilitate their physical characterization and analyze their robustness. In the second part of the study, we used the
490 previous classification and different methodologies to identify sub-sets of 4 or 8 models (amongst 30 CMIP5 models)
491 representative of the full ensemble, in terms of the ensembles mean and inter-model spread. The aim is to be able to
492 provide to other communities (e.g. statistical and dynamical downscaling, impact modelers) a reduced but meaningful
493 set of models, much more easy to manage, to facilitate their applicative research.

494 Concerning the CMIP5 models classification and the characterization of the main responses over the Sahel, our findings
495 can be summarized as follows:

- 496 • The methodology for model classification is based on a hierarchical clustering algorithm (Jain et al. 1999). The
497 similarity criterion is based on the spatial pattern of precipitation change, defined as the differences RCP85
498 (2060 -2099) minus HIST (1960-1999) in JAS. We obtained four groups of models (GR1-GR4) with robust
499 intra-group pattern of precipitation changes. They are characterized by robust and specific changes in
500 precipitation, water budget, temperature and atmospheric dynamics.
- 501 • GR1 shows a large and robust increase in precipitation over the entire Sahel in JAS. GR2 exhibits a moderate
502 increase in precipitation over the central Sahel. GR3 projects a decrease in precipitation over the western Sahel
503 and an increase over the central Sahel. Finally GR4 projects drier conditions over the entire Sahel. This large
504 variety of precipitation changes is consistent with other studies based on CMIP-type ensembles, as summarized
505 in the review of Druryan (2011) for the CMIP3 models. We show that, in the climate change context, the Sahel
506 precipitation response is not spatially homogeneous. The western Sahel is projected to become drier in three
507 groups of models (GR2, GR3 and GR4) for the whole JAS period. Projections for the central Sahel show an
508 increase in precipitation, especially during the months of the monsoon withdrawal (September-October) in
509 three groups of models (GR1, GR2 and GR3).
- 510 • The increase in precipitation in the central Sahel is explained by a strong warming over the Sahara ($> 6^{\circ}\text{C}$) and
511 a strengthening of the North-Tropical Atlantic SST gradient. In GR1 and GR3 the heat low is deeper, pushing

the monsoon system northward along with a strengthening of the southwesterly winds. In GR2 the monsoon circulation strengthens but does not move northward. A drier western Sahel is associated with less moisture convergence and more subsidence.

- During the latest months of the rainy season (SON), the increase in precipitation over the central Sahel is driven by changes in SSTs (Biasutti and Sobel, 2009) and by an increase in local moisture recycling (that dominates the P-E change in October) (Monerie et al., 2016). The CMIP5 models are more or less influenced by either of these mechanisms, as suggested by the large discrepancy in the contribution of P-E changes in the total precipitation change (Fig. 6a-h).

- We suggest that the inter-model differences can be explained by two factors: (i) the amplitude of the surface meridional land-ocean and North Atlantic-tropical Atlantic temperature gradients; and (ii) the strengthening of the low and mid-level zonal wind. It is however difficult to determine the role of the AEJ, since there is a positive feedback between the AEJ and precipitation over the Sahel. Therefore, further work is necessary to address the respective roles of changes in the surface temperature gradient between the Sahara and the North Atlantic, and the changes in the mid-level zonal wind. This can be helpful for improving the WAM representation in models and to reduce the uncertainties in models responses under global warming.

The CMIP5 atmosphere-ocean-general circulation model (AOGCM) simulations used in this study do not take into account the dynamic vegetation and land use feedback. We should keep in mind that the Sahel experiences strong feedbacks between land and precipitations (Koster et al. 2004). This can potentially lead to a miss-estimation of projected precipitation changes, especially during the late rainy season (Wang and Alo, 2012).

Furthermore, the CMIP5 climate models suffer from several biases due to the models coarse resolution and underestimation of regional orography (Wehner et al. 2010; Li et al. 2011). The present results have thus to be confirmed with RCMs and global GCM simulations using finer grids. To do so, it is first necessary to select the GCM simulations that will be used to force the RCMs (dynamical downscaling) or that will be statistically downscaled. The selected set of global simulations has to be representative of the full CMIP5 in terms of ensemble mean and spread in Sahel precipitation change, otherwise the impact studies can not document and explore the signal and uncertainty provided by the ensemble of CMIP5 climate models. The methods for the selection of sub-sample of models tested in this study are of interest in this context.

539

540 Regarding the model selection, the approaches that we propose can be applied to other areas and variables of interest. In
541 our particular case, our conclusions are:

542 • We show that over the Sahel, future precipitation changes in summer are not strongly associated with present-day
543 biases. For this reason, we propose a selection criterion based on the projections instead of on the mean historical
544 climate simulations. The objective is then not to select a subset of models judged to be more realistic, but to select a
545 small subset of models representative of the full ensemble. This could be particularly useful for studies in which
546 computational resources and/or data storage and/or treatment capacity are a limiting factor, which is the case for most
547 dynamical downscaling or impact studies.

548 • The “best” sub-ensemble of models, in terms of similarity with the full CMIP5 ensemble mean and spread, turns out to
549 be composed by the bnu_esm, mpi_esm_mr, giss_e2_r and hadgem2_cc models.

550 • In section 4.3, we propose alternative solutions that may be useful for example if data from the above mentioned
551 models are not available at the time frequency necessary for the study of interest. They are based on the model
552 classification presented in this work. The best method basically consist of selecting one or two models in each of the
553 four groups, which allows more freedom in the choice of the models, and ensure a good representation of both the mean
554 and spread of the full ensemble. When using the methods described in the section 5 for impact studies it is crucial to use
555 consistent physical packages between RCM and the forcing GCM to ensure that the downscaled field will not be the
556 result of a change of the parametrization but of the forcing field with a higher resolution (Saini et al., 2015). If not the
557 downscaled fields will not be representative of the CMIP5 ensemble.

558

559

560

561

562 **Acknowledgements**

563 We acknowledge the World Climate Research Programme's Working Group on Coupled Modelling, which is
564 responsible for CMIP, and we thank the climate modelling groups for producing and making available their model
565 output. For CMIP the U.S. Department of Energy's Program for Climate Model Diagnosis and Intercomparison
566 provides coordinating support and led development of software infrastructure in partnership with the Global
567 Organization for Earth System Science Portals. Calculations were performed using HPC resources from DSI-CCUB
568 (Université de Bourgogne). This work was supported by the EU-funded PREFACE (grant agreement 603521) project.
569 We also thank the two anonymous reviewers for their comments and suggestions.

570

571

572

Captions

Figure1: The model “family tree” from CMIP5 models for the RCP85-HIST change in Sahel precipitation, shown as a dendrogram (a hierarchical clustering of the pairwise distance matrix for precipitation, see text). Models on the same branch simulate similar patterns in precipitation changes. Models from the same group share the same color.

Figure2: Projected changes in JAS (a-d) precipitation (mm.day^{-1}), (e-h) evaporation (mm.day^{-1}) and (i-l) P-E budget (mm.day^{-1}) for the four groups of models (one group per column) identified by the clustering. The present climatology (HIST) is displayed with red contours and the RCP85-HIST differences by color shading. Hatching represents the grid-points where at least 80% of the models agree with the sign of the intra-group ensemble mean within each groups (5 for GR1; 7 for GR2; 13 for GR3; 5 for GR4)

Figure3: Projected changes RCP85-HIST in JAS (a-d) 2m temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$) (shading), sea level pressure (hPa) (blue contours) and 950 hPa winds (m.s^{-1}) (green arrows) for the four groups of models. The winds anomalies are displayed if at least 80% of the models agree on the sign of the intra-cluster mean. (e-h) Latitude-height cross section (average from 10°W to 10°E) of zonal winds (m.s^{-1}) for the RCP85-HIST projected changes (shading). The present climatology (HIST) for zonal winds is displayed with red contours. Hatching represents the grid-points where at least 80% of the models agree with the sign of the intra-cluster mean (5 for GR1; 7 for GR2; 13 for GR3; 5 for GR4).

Figure 4: Changes in Atlantic SST gradient, computed as the zonally-averaged RCP85-HIST difference over the Atlantic Ocean ($75^{\circ}\text{W} - 10^{\circ}\text{E}$) minus the SST tropical warming ($180^{\circ}\text{W}-180^{\circ}\text{E}$; $30^{\circ}\text{S}-30^{\circ}\text{N}$) in JAS. The intra-cluster mean is computed for GR1 (brown line), GR2 (blue line), GR3 (green line) and GR4 (red line). Shadings represent the intra-cluster standard deviation.

Figure5: Time-Latitude diagram from January to December for RCP85-HIST projected changes averaged over the western Sahel ($20^{\circ}\text{W}-0^{\circ}\text{W}$) in (a-d) precipitation (mm.day^{-1}), (e-h) P-E (mm.day^{-1}), (i-l) 600 hPa zonal wind (m.s^{-1}) and (m-p) 400 hPa omega (Pa.s^{-1}) for the four groups of models. The present climatology (HIST) is displayed with red contours and the HIST-RCP85 differences by the shading. Hatching represents the grid-points where at least 80% of the models agree with the sign of the intra-cluster mean (5 for GR1; 7 for GR2; 13 for GR3; 5 for GR4).

Figure6: Same as the figure 5 but for the central Sahel (from 0° to 20°E).


Figure7: Mean model bias in JAS (a-d) precipitation computed as HIST-GPCP (in mm.day^{-1}) represented by the shading. Observed precipitation (GPCP) is also displayed (red contours). Mean model bias of global T2m in JAS computed as HIST-ERA-Interim reanalysis (e-h) (in $^{\circ}\text{C}$). Hatching represents the grid-points where at least 80% of the models agree with the sign of the intra-cluster mean bias.

Figure8: The model “family tree” from the CMIP5 models for biases in Sahel precipitation, shown as a dendrogram (a hierarchical clustering of the pairwise distance matrix for precipitation). The spatial pattern used to compute the correlation matrix is the JAS precipitation bias (HIST-GPCP). Models on the same branch simulate similar biases in precipitation. Colors indicate models belonging to the clusters defined in Fig.1 according to the classification on the projected response.

Figure9: Mean precipitation changes (mm.day^{-1}) of the 30 CMIP5 models (models in color in Figure 1b, shading) and the probability to reproduce its sign, when randomly selecting (a-c) 4 models and (d-f) 8 models (hatching). The probability is computed with a Monte Carlo approach. Hatching shows the points where at least 95% of the n draws agree on the sign of the CMIP5 pattern. Here, n is equal to 30 000 (500 000) for 4 models (8 models). The spread of the intra-group precipitation change is computed when using (g-i) 4 models and (j-l) 8 models, the difference (in %) between the mean spread computed from the n draws and the spread of the full CMIP5 ensemble is displayed in colors and the spread computed from the n values of spread is indicated by the purple lines (mm.day^{-1}). The “pattern selection” method consists of selecting 4 or 8 models in GR3, the “random” method randomly selects 4 or 8 models within the full CMIP5 ensemble, and the “diversity” method selects 1 or 2 models from each group of models in Fig.1.

Figure10: Differences of ensemble mean JAS precipitation changes (RCP85-HIST; mm.day^{-1}) between the full CMIP5 ensemble, and the best ensemble of (a) 4 models, (b) 8 models, and the “worst” ensemble of (c) 4 models and (d) 8 models. Differences of JAS mean precipitation (mm.day^{-1}) between the spread of the RCP85-HIST CMIP5 data-set projection minus the spread of RCP85-HIST projection of the “best” ensemble of (e) 4 models, (f) 8 models and the “worst” ensemble of (g) 4 models and (h) 8 models.

633
634



635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646

Figure1: The model “family tree” from CMIP5 models for the RCP85-HIST change in Sahel precipitation, shown as a dendrogram (a hierarchical clustering of the pairwise distance matrix for precipitation, see text). Models on the same branch simulate similar patterns in precipitation changes. Models from the same group share the same color.




Figure2: Projected changes in JAS (a-d) precipitation (mm.day^{-1}), (e-h) evaporation (mm.day^{-1}) and (i-l) P-E budget (mm.day^{-1}) for the four groups of models (one group per column) identified by the clustering. The present climatology (HIST) is displayed with red contours and the RCP85-HIST differences by color shading. Hatching represents the grid-points where at least 80% of the models agree with the sign of the intra-group ensemble mean within each groups (5 for GR1; 7 for GR2; 13 for GR3; 5 for GR4)





Figure3: Projected changes RCP85-HIST in JAS (a-d) 2m temperature (°C) (shading), sea level pressure (hPa) (blue contours) and 950 hPa winds (m.s⁻¹) (green arrows) for the four groups of models. The winds anomalies are displayed if at least 80% of the models agree on the sign of the intra-cluster mean. (e-h) Latitude-height cross section (average from 10°W to 10°E) of zonal winds (m.s⁻¹) for the RCP85-HIST projected changes (shading). The present climatology (HIST) for zonal winds is displayed with red contours. Hatching represents the grid-points where at least 80% of the models agree with the sign of the intra-cluster mean (5 for GR1; 7 for GR2; 13 for GR3; 5 for GR4).

733



734

735

736 Figure 4: Changes in SST Atlantic gradient, computed as the zonally-averaged RCP85-HIST difference over the
737 Atlantic Ocean (75°W – 10°E) minus the SST tropical warming (180°W-180°E; 30°S-30°N) in JAS. The intra-cluster
738 mean is computed for GR1 (brown line), GR2 (blue line), GR3 (green line) and GR4 (red line). Shadings represent the
739 intra-cluster standard deviation.

740




Figure5: Time-Latitude diagram from January to December for RCP85-HIST projected changes averaged over the western Sahel (20°W-0°W) in (a-d) precipitation (mm.day⁻¹), (e-h) P-E (mm.day⁻¹), (i-l) 600 hPa zonal wind (m.s⁻¹) and (m-p) 400 hPa omega (Pa.s⁻¹) for the four groups of models. The present climatology (HIST) is displayed with red contours and the HIST-RCP85 differences by the shading. Hatching represents the grid-points where at least 80% of the models agree with the sign of the intra-cluster mean (5 for GR1; 7 for GR2; 13 for GR3; 5 for GR4).




Figure6: Same as the figure 5 but for the central Sahel (from 0° to 20°E).





Figure7: Mean model bias in JAS (a-d) precipitation computed as HIST-GPCP (in mm.day⁻¹) represented by the shading. Observed precipitation (GPCP) is also displayed (red contours). Mean model bias of global T2m in JAS computed as HIST-ERA-Interim reanalysis (e-h) (in °C). Hatching represents the grid-points where at least 80% of the models agree with the sign of the intra-cluster mean bias.

767
768



769
770

771 Figure8: The model "family tree" from the CMIP5 models for biases in Sahel precipitation, shown as a dendrogram (a
772 hierarchical clustering of the pairwise distance matrix for precipitation). The spatial pattern used to compute the
773 correlation matrix is the JAS precipitation bias (HIST-GPCP). Models on the same branch simulate similar biases in
774 precipitation. Colors indicate models belonging to the clusters defined in Fig.1 according to the classification on the
775 projected response.

776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795




Figure9: Mean precipitation changes (mm.day⁻¹) of the 30 CMIP5 models (models in color in Figure 1b, shading) and the probability to reproduce its sign, when randomly selecting (a-c) 4 models and (d-f) 8 models (hatching). The probability is computed with a Monte Carlo approach. Hatching shows the points where at least 95% of the n draws agree on the sign of the CMIP5 pattern. Here, n is equal to 30 000 (500 000) for 4 models (8 models). The spread of the intra-group precipitation change is computed when using (g-i) 4 models and (j-l) 8 models, the difference (in %) between the mean spread computed from the n draws and the spread of the full CMIP5 ensemble is displayed in colors and the spread computed from the n values of spread is indicated by the purple lines (mm.day⁻¹). The “pattern selection” method consists of selecting 4 or 8 models in GR3, the “random” method randomly selects 4 or 8 models within the full CMIP5 ensemble, and the “diversity” method selects 1 or 2 models from each group of models in Fig.1.




Figure10: Differences of ensemble mean JAS precipitation changes (RCP85-HIST; mm.day⁻¹) between the full CMIP5 ensemble, and the best ensemble of (a) 4 models, (b) 8 models, and the “worst” ensemble of (c) 4 models and (d) 8 models. Differences of JAS mean precipitation (mm.day⁻¹) between the spread of the RCP85-HIST CMIP5 data-set projection minus the spread of RCP85-HIST projection of the “best” ensemble of (e) 4 models, (f) 8 models and the “worst” ensemble of (g) 4 models and (h) 8 models.

References

- Abdussalam AF et al. (2014) The impact of climate change on meningitis in Northwest Nigeria: An assessment using CMIP5 climate model simulations. *Weather, Climate, and Society* 6:371-379
- Adler RF et al. (2003) The version-2 global precipitation climatology project (GPCP) monthly precipitation analysis (1979-present). *Journal of hydrometeorology* 4:1147-1167
- Bader J, Latif M (2003) The impact of decadal-scale Indian Ocean sea surface temperature anomalies on Sahelian rainfall and the North Atlantic Oscillation. *Geophysical Research Letters* 30
- Bader J, Latif M (2003) The impact of decadal-scale Indian Ocean sea surface temperature anomalies on Sahelian rainfall and the North Atlantic Oscillation. *Geophys Res Lett* 30:2169. doi:10.1029/2003gl018426
- Barros V et al. (2015) Climate change 2014: impacts, adaptation, and vulnerability. Part B: regional aspects. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.
- Biasutti M (2013) Forced Sahel rainfall trends in the CMIP5 archive. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres* 118:1613-1623. doi:10.1002/jgrd.50206
- Biasutti M, Sobel AH (2009) Delayed Sahel rainfall and global seasonal cycle in a warmer climate. *Geophys Res Lett* 36:L23707. doi:10.1029/2009gl01303
- Buontempo C, Mathison C, Jones R, Williams K, Wang C, McSweeney C (2015) An ensemble climate projection for Africa. *Climate Dynamics* 44:2097-2118. doi:10.1007/s00382-014-2286-2
- Caminade C et al. (2014) Impact of climate change on global malaria distribution. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 111:3286-3291
- Cook KH (1999) Generation of the African Easterly Jet and Its Role in Determining West African Precipitation. *Journal of Climate* 12:1165-1184. doi:10.1175/1520-0442(1999)012<1165:gotaej>2.0.co;2
- Cr  tat J, Vizy E, Cook K (2014) How well are daily intense rainfall events captured by current climate models over Africa? *Climate Dynamics* 42:2691-2711. doi:10.1007/s00382-013-1796-7
- Dai A, Lamb PJ, Trenberth KE, Hulme M, Jones PD, Xie P (2004) The recent Sahel drought is real. *International Journal of Climatology* 24:1323-1331
- Dee D et al. (2011) The ERA-Interim reanalysis: Configuration and performance of the data assimilation system. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society* 137:553-597
- Dong B, Sutton R (2015) Dominant role of greenhouse-gas forcing in the recovery of Sahel rainfall. *Nature Clim Change* 5:757-760. doi:10.1038/nclimate2664
- Druyan LM (2011) Studies of 21st-century precipitation trends over West Africa. *International Journal of Climatology* 31:1415-1424
- Dwyer JG, Biasutti M, Sobel AH (2014) The Effect of Greenhouse Gas-Induced Changes in SST on the Annual Cycle of Zonal Mean Tropical Precipitation. *Journal of Climate* 27:4544-4565
- Fontaine B et al. (2010) Impacts of warm and cold situations in the Mediterranean basins on the West African monsoon: observed connection patterns (1979–2006) and climate simulations. *Climate Dynamics* 35:95-114. doi:10.1007/s00382-009-0599-3
- Fontaine B, Gaetani M, Ullmann A, Roucou P (2011a) Time evolution of observed July–September sea surface temperature-Sahel climate teleconnection with removed quasi-global effect (1900–2008). *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres* 116. doi:10.1029/2010jd014843
- Fontaine B, Roucou P, Monerie P-A (2011b) Changes in the African monsoon region at medium-term time horizon using 12 AR4 coupled models under the A1b emissions scenario. *Atmospheric Science Letters* 12:83-88. doi:10.1002/asl.321
- Gaetani M, Fontaine B, Roucou P, Baldi M (2010) Influence of the Mediterranean Sea on the West African monsoon: Intraseasonal variability in numerical simulations. *J Geophys Res* 115:D24115. doi:10.1029/2010jd014436
- Gaetani M, Mohino E (2013) Decadal prediction of the Sahelian precipitation in CMIP5 simulations. *Journal of Climate* 26:7708-7719
- Giannini A (2010) Mechanisms of Climate Change in the Semiarid African Sahel: The Local View. *Journal of Climate* 23:743-756. doi:10.1175/2009jcli3123.1
- Grist JP, Nicholson SE (2001) A study of the dynamic factors influencing the rainfall variability in the West African Sahel. *Journal of Climate* 14:1337-1359
- Guan K, Sultan B, Biasutti M, Baron C, Lobell DB (2015) What aspects of future rainfall changes matter for crop yields in West Africa? *Geophysical Research Letters* 42. doi:10.1002/2015gl063877
- Haarsma RJ, Selten FM, Weber SL, Klijn M (2005) Sahel rainfall variability and response to greenhouse warming. *Geophys Res Lett* 32:L17702. doi:10.1029/2005gl023232

879 Hoerling M, Hurrell J, Eischeid J, Phillips A (2006) Detection and Attribution of Twentieth-Century Northern and
880 Southern African Rainfall Change. *Journal of Climate* 19:3989-4008. doi:10.1175/jcli3842.1

881 Jain AK, Murty MN, Flynn PJ (1999) Data clustering: a review. *ACM computing surveys (CSUR)* 31:264-323

882 James R, Washington R (2013) Changes in African temperature and precipitation associated with degrees of global
883 warming. *Climatic Change* 117:859-872

884 James R, Washington R, Jones R (2015) Process-based assessment of an ensemble of climate projections for West
885 Africa. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres* 120. doi:10.1002/2014JD022513

886 Kitoh A, Endo H, Krishna Kumar K, Cavalcanti IFA, Goswami P, Zhou T (2013) Monsoons in a changing world: A
887 regional perspective in a global context. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres* 118:3053-3065.
888 doi:10.1002/jgrd.50258

889 Knight JR, Folland CK, Scaife AA (2006) Climate impacts of the Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation. *Geophys Res Lett*
890 33:L17706. doi:10.1029/2006gl026242

891 Knutti R, Furrer R, Tebaldi C, Cermak J, Meehl GA (2010) Challenges in combining projections from multiple climate
892 models. *Journal of Climate* 23:2739-2758. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/2009JCLI3361.1

893 Knutti R, Masson D, Gettelman A (2013) Climate model genealogy: Generation CMIP5 and how we got there.
894 *Geophysical Research Letters* 40:1194-1199

895 Koster RD et al. (2004) Regions of strong coupling between soil moisture and precipitation. *Science* 305:1138-1140

896 Lebel T, Ali A (2009) Recent trends in the Central and Western Sahel rainfall regime (1990-2007). *Journal of Hydrology*
897 375:52-64. doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2008.11.030

898 Lee J-Y, Wang B (2014) Future change of global monsoon in the CMIP5. *Climate Dynamics* 42:101-119.
899 doi:10.1007/s00382-012-1564-0

900 L'Hote Y, Mahe G, Some B (2003) The 1990s rainfall in the Sahel: the third driest decade since the beginning of the
901 century. *Hydrological Sciences Journal* 48:493-496

902 L'Hote Y, Mahé G, Somé B, Triboulet JP (2002) Analysis of a Sahelian annual rainfall index from 1896 to 2000; the
903 drought continues. *Hydrological Sciences Journal* 47:563-572

904 Li F, Collins WD, Wehner MF, Williamson DL, Olson JG (2011) Response of precipitation extremes to idealized global
905 warming in an aqua- planet climate model: towards a robust projection across different horizontal resolutions.
906 *Tellus A* 63:876-883

907 Li F, Rosa D, Collins WD, Wehner MF (2012) "Super-parameterization": A better way to simulate regional extreme
908 precipitation? *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems* 4. doi:10.1029/2011ms000106

909 Li L, Diallo I, Xu C-Y, Stordal F (2015) Hydrological projections under climate change in the near future by RegCM4
910 in Southern Africa using a large-scale hydrological model. *Journal of Hydrology*

911 Martin ER, Thorncroft C, Booth BB (2014) The multidecadal Atlantic SST—Sahel rainfall teleconnection in CMIP5
912 simulations. *Journal of Climate* 27:784-806

913 Masson D, Knutti R (2011) Climate model genealogy. *Geophysical Research Letters* 38

914 Maynard KM, Royer JFR, Chauvin FC (2002) Impact of greenhouse warming on the West African summer monsoon.
915 *Climate Dynamics* 19:499-514. doi:10.1007/s00382-002-0242-z

916 McSweeney CF, Jones RG, Booth BB (2012) Selecting ensemble members to provide regional climate change
917 information. *Journal of Climate* 25:7100-7121

918 McSweeney C, Jones R, Lee R, Rowell D (2015) Selecting CMIP5 GCMs for downscaling over multiple regions.
919 *Climate Dynamics* 44:3237-3260

920 Meinshausen M et al. (2011) The RCP greenhouse gas concentrations and their extensions from 1765 to 2300. *Climatic*
921 *Change* 109:213-241. doi:10.1007/s10584-011-0156-z

922 Mohino E, Janicot S, Bader J (2011) Sahel rainfall and decadal to multi-decadal sea surface temperature variability.
923 *Climate Dynamics* 37:419-440

924 Monerie P-A, Fontaine B, Roucou P (2012) Expected future changes in the African monsoon between 2030 and 2070
925 using some CMIP3 and CMIP5 models under a medium-low RCP scenario. *Journal of Geophysical Research:*
926 *Atmospheres* (1984–2012) 117:D16111. doi:10.1029/2012JD017510

927 Monerie P-A, Roucou P, Fontaine B (2013) Mid-century effects of Climate Change on African monsoon dynamics
928 using the A1B emission scenario. *International Journal of Climatology* 33:881-896. doi:10.1002/joc.3476

929 Monerie P-A, Biasutti M, Roucou P (2016) On the projected increase of Sahel rainfall during the late rainy season.
930 *International Journal of Climatology*. doi:10.1002/joc.4638

931 Nicholson SE (2005) On the question of the "recovery" of the rains in the West African Sahel. *Journal of Arid*
932 *Environments* 63:615-641. doi:10.1016/j.jaridenv.2005.03.004

933 Nicholson SE (2008) The intensity, location and structure of the tropical rainbelt over west Africa as factors in
934 interannual variability. *International Journal of Climatology* 28:1775-1785

935 Nicholson SE, Dezfuli AK, Klotter D (2012a) A two-century precipitation dataset for the continent of Africa. *Bulletin of*
936 *the American Meteorological Society* 93:1219-1231

937 Nicholson SE, Klotter D, Dezfuli AK (2012b) Spatial reconstruction of semi-quantitative precipitation fields over
938 Africa during the nineteenth century from documentary evidence and gauge data. *Quaternary Research* 78:13-
939 23

940 Nicholson SE (2013) The West African Sahel: A review of recent studies on the rainfall regime and its interannual
941 variability. *ISRN Meteorology* 2013

942 Okumura YM, Ohba M, Deser C, Ueda H (2011) A proposed mechanism for the asymmetric duration of El Niño and La
943 Niña. *Journal of Climate* 24:3822-3829

944 Ozer P, Erpicum M, DemarÉE G, Vandiepenbeeck M (2003) The Sahelian drought may have ended during the 1990s.
945 *Hydrological Sciences Journal* 48:489-492. doi:10.1623/hysj.48.3.489.45285

946 Park J-Y, Bader J, Matei D (2015) Northern-hemispheric differential warming is the key to understanding the
947 discrepancies in the projected Sahel rainfall. *Nat Commun* 6. doi:10.1038/ncomms6985

948 Patricola CM, Cook KH (2008) Atmosphere/vegetation feedbacks: A mechanism for abrupt climate change over
949 northern Africa. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres* 113. doi:10.1029/2007jd009608

950 Pennell C, Reichler T (2011) On the effective number of climate models. *Journal of Climate* 24:2358-2367.
951 doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/2010JCLI3814.1

952 Polo I, Ullmann A, Roucou P, Fontaine B (2011) Weather regimes in the Euro-Atlantic and Mediterranean sector, and
953 relationship with West African rainfall over the 1989-2008 period from a self-organizing maps approach.
954 *Journal of Climate* 24:3423-3432

955 Richter I, Xie S-P (2008) On the origin of equatorial Atlantic biases in coupled general circulation models. *Climate*
956 *Dynamics* 31:587-598. doi:10.1007/s00382-008-0364-z

957 Richter I, Xie S-P, Wittenberg AT, Masumoto Y (2012) Tropical Atlantic biases and their relation to surface wind stress
958 and terrestrial precipitation. *Climate Dynamics* 38:985-1001

959 Roehrig R, Bouniol D, Guichard F, Hourdin F, Redelsperger JL (2013) The present and future of the West African
960 monsoon: a process-oriented assessment of CMIP5 simulations along the AMMA transect. *Journal of Climate*,
961 26(17), 6471-6505.

962 Rowell DP (2003) The Impact of Mediterranean SSTs on the Sahelian Rainfall Season. *Journal of Climate* 16:849-862.
963 doi:10.1175/1520-0442(2003)016<0849:tiooms>2.0.co;2

964 Saini R, Wang G, Yu M, Kim J (2015) Comparison of RCM and GCM projections of boreal summer precipitation over
965 Africa. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres* 120:3679-3699. doi:10.1002/2014jd022599

966 Sanogo S, Fink AH, Omotosho JA, Ba A, Redl R, Ermert V (2015) Spatio-temporal characteristics of the recent rainfall
967 recovery in West Africa. *International Journal of Climatology*. doi:10.1002/joc.4309

968 Santer BD et al. (2009) Incorporating model quality information in climate change detection and attribution studies.
969 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 106:14778-14783. doi:citeulike-article-id:5778152

970 Seth A, Rauscher SA, Biasutti M, Giannini A, Camargo SJ, Rojas M (2013) CMIP5 projected changes in the annual
971 cycle of precipitation in monsoon regions. *Journal of Climate* 26:7328-7351.
972 doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00726.1

973 Skinner CB, Ashfaq M, Diffenbaugh NS (2012) Influence of twenty-first-century atmospheric and sea surface
974 temperature forcing on West African climate. *Journal of Climate* 25:527-542

975 Solomon S (2007) Climate change 2007-the physical science basis: Working group I contribution to the fourth
976 assessment report of the IPCC vol 4. Cambridge University Press,

977 Sultan B et al. (2014) Robust features of future climate change impacts on sorghum yields in West Africa.
978 *Environmental Research Letters* 9:104006

979 Sylla MB, Giorgi F, Pal JS, Gibba P, Kebe I, Nikiema M (2015) Projected Changes in the Annual Cycle of High
980 Intensity Precipitation Events over West Africa for the Late 21st Century. *Journal of Climate*

981 Taylor KE, Stouffer RJ, Meehl GA (2012) An overview of CMIP5 and the experiment design. *Bulletin of the American*
982 *Meteorological Society* 93:485-498. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-11-00094.1

983 Thorncroft C, Blackburn M (1999) Maintenance of the African easterly jet. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal*
984 *Meteorological Society* 125:763-786

985 Ting M, Kushnir Y, Seager R, Li C (2009) Forced and internal twentieth-century sst trends in the north atlantic*.
986 *Journal of Climate* 22:1469-1481

987 Uppala SM et al. (2005) The ERA-40 re-analysis. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society* 131:2961-
988 3012

989 Uppala S, Dee D, Kobayashi S, Berrisford P, Simmons A (2008) Towards a climate data assimilation system: status
990 update of ERA-Interim. *ECMWF newsletter* 115:12-18

991 Vizio EK, Cook KH (2012) Mid-Twenty-First-Century Changes in Extreme Events over Northern and Tropical Africa.
992 *Journal of Climate* 25:5748-5767. doi:10.1175/jcli-d-11-00693.1

993 Wang G, Alo CA (2012) Changes in precipitation seasonality in West Africa predicted by RegCM3 and the impact of
994 dynamic vegetation feedback. *International Journal of Geophysics* 2012. doi:10.1155/2012/597205

995 Ward Jr JH (1963) Hierarchical grouping to optimize an objective function. *Journal of the American statistical*
996 *association* 58:236-244

997 Wehner MF, Smith RL, Bala G, Duffy P (2010) The effect of horizontal resolution on simulation of very extreme US
998 precipitation events in a global atmosphere model. *Climate Dynamics* 34:241-247
999 Xue Y, Boone A, Taylor CM (2012) Review of Recent Developments and the Future Prospective in West African
1000 Atmosphere/Land Interaction Studies. *International Journal of Geophysics* 2012:12. doi:10.1155/2012/748921
1001 Zhang R, Delworth TL (2006) Impact of Atlantic multidecadal oscillations on India/Sahel rainfall and Atlantic
1002 hurricanes. *Geophysical Research Letters* 33. doi:10.1029/2006gl026267
1003
1004
1005
1006
1007
1008
1009
1010
1011
1012
1013
1014

Figure1

Mean change




Figure2






Figure3


tas and ps change GR1





tas and ps change GR2




tas and ps change GR3




tas and ps change GR4




zonal wind change GR1



zonal wind change GR2



zonal wind change GR3



zonal wind change GR4





Figure4






Figure5


P change GR1




P change GR2




P change GR3




P change GR4




P-E change GR1





P-E change GR2




P-E change GR3




P-E change GR4




ua@600 hPa change GR1





ua@600 hPa change GR2



ua@600 hPa change GR3



ua@600 hPa change GR4



omega@400 hPa change GR1 omega@400 hPa change GR2 omega@400 hPa change GR3 omega@400 hPa change GR4








Figure6






Figure7


pr, GR1 -GPCP





pr, GR2 -GPCP




pr, GR3 -GPCP




pr, GR4 -GPCP




tas, GR1 - era-interim



tas, GR2- era-interim



tas, GR3- era-interim



tas, GR4- era-interim







Figure8

Mean model biases







[Click here to access/download](#)

Electronic Supplementary Material
Monerie_et al_with_track_changes.doc

